Tantrayukti and Vadamarga of Charaka: A Comparative Analytical Review

*1Dr. Priti V Gahukar and 2Dr. Rekha G Pandey

*1Associate Professor, Department of Samhita Siddhant, Indutai Gaikwad Patil Ayurvedic College, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India.

2HOD & Professor, Department of Samhita Siddhant, Smt. Shantibai Otarmal Jain Ayurvedic, Rugnalaya, Raigad, Maharashtra, India.

Abstract

Ayurveda is a classical system of medicine authored by eminent Acharyas who possessed profound scientific, philosophical, and linguistic mastery. The Ayurvedic Samhitas are composed in Sanskrit, a language rich in layered meanings, which necessitates specific interpretative tools for proper comprehension. To facilitate accurate understanding and application of textual knowledge, classical authors have described certain methodological devices. Among these, Tantrayukti and Vadamarga are two important intellectual tools explained predominantly in Charaka Samhita. Tantrayukti serves as a systematic interpretative framework for decoding textual meanings, while Vadamarga outlines the logical structure and ethical conduct of scholarly debates. Although Tantrayukti is described in multiple Samhitas, Vadamarga is elaborated exclusively by Acharya Charaka. The present review attempts a comparative analysis of Tantrayukti and Vadamarga, highlighting their similarities, differences, and collective role in the logical interpretation of Samhita concepts.

Keywords: Ayurveda, Samhita, Charaka, Tantrayukti, Vadamarga.

Introduction

The Ayurvedic Samhitas are not merely compilations of therapeutic guidelines but are comprehensive treatises encompassing logic, philosophy, linguistics, and scientific reasoning. The complexity of Sanskrit language and the concise nature of Samhita literature demand specialized tools to interpret both explicit and implicit meanings. To address this need, ancient scholars introduced Tantrayukti, a structured methodology for understanding textual composition and intended meaning [1].

Tantrayukti is not unique to Ayurveda; it is also described in *Arthashastra* of Kautilya as a tool for systematic exposition of knowledge [2]. In Ayurveda, Tantrayukti has been described by Acharya Charaka in Siddhi Sthana, by Acharya Sushruta in Uttara Tantra, and by Vagbhata through commentarial explanations in Ashtanga Hridaya [3, 4]. However, notable variations exist among these authors regarding the number and nomenclature of Tantrayuktis [5].

Vadamarga, on the other hand, is a distinctive contribution of Acharya Charaka and is explained in the context of *Sandhaya Sambhasha* in Vimana Sthana [6]. It provides a logical framework for debate, discussion, and validation of scientific knowledge. Given that both Tantrayukti and Vadamarga serve analytical purposes, a comparative evaluation becomes essential to understand their individual and collective applications in Samhita interpretation.

Methodology

A comprehensive literary review was undertaken by critically analyzing references related to Tantrayukti and Vadamarga from Charaka Samhita. Charaka Samhita was selected as the primary source since it uniquely describes both concepts. Secondary references from Sushruta Samhita, Ashtanga Hridaya, and authoritative commentaries were consulted to understand variations in enumeration and interpretation. The similarities and differences between Tantrayukti and Vadamarga were analyzed with respect to their definitions, functional scope, and application in narrative and discussion-based textual styles.

Tantrayukti: Concept and Classification

Tantrayukti refers to methodological devices employed to systematically arrange, explain, and interpret scientific texts. According to Bhattara Harichandra, forty Tantrayuktis are described, whereas Acharya Charaka enumerates thirty-six Tantrayuktis in Siddhi Sthana [1, 5]. Acharya Sushruta mentions thirty-two Tantrayuktis, excluding certain categories described by Charaka [3]. Vagbhata also accepts thirty-six Tantrayuktis, aligning closely with Charaka's view [4].

The variations among Acharyas suggest evolutionary development and contextual adaptation of Tantrayukti. Despite differences in enumeration, the fundamental objective remains the same—to enable the reader to grasp both the

expressed and unexpressed meanings of the text.

Vadamarga: Concept and Scope
Vadamarga is a systematic framework governing scholarly debates. Acharya Charaka describes forty-four Vadamargas in the eighth chapter of Vimana Sthana [6]. These include components related to ontology (Dravya, Guna, Karma), epistemology (Pramana), logical reasoning (Hetu, Drushtanta), debate ethics (Nigrahasthana), and linguistic quality (Vakhyadosha and Vakhyaprashamsa).

Certain Vadamargas are exclusively meant for debate, while others overlap conceptually with Tantrayukti. This indicates that Vadamarga is a broader analytical system encompassing Tantrayukti principles along with debate-specific regulations.

Comparative Analysis of Tantrayukti and Vadamarga
Different Terminology with Similar Concepts
Several Tantrayuktis and Vadamargas differ in nomenclature but convey identical meanings:

- Arthapatti (Tantrayukti) and Arthaprapti (Vadamarga) both denote inference of implicit meaning from explicit statements [7, 8].
- Nidarshana (Tantrayukti) and Drushtanta (Vadamarga) involve illustration through examples to clarify concepts [9, 10].
- Upadesha (Tantrayukti) and Aiteehya (Vadamarga) represent authoritative instruction without the need for logical proof [11, 12].
- Anekanta (Tantrayukti) and Savyabhichara (Vadamarga) indicate contextual uncertainty [13, 14].
- Nirnaya (Tantrayukti) and Vyavasaya (Vadamarga) signify conclusive decision after analytical deliberation [15, 16].

Similar Terminology with Similar Concepts
Some concepts retain identical names and meanings in both systems:

- **Samshaya** in both Tantrayukti and Vadamarga represents constructive doubt that initiates inquiry [17, 18].
- **Prayojana** in both systems denotes the ultimate purpose or objective of discourse [19, 20].

These parallels demonstrate that Tantrayukti and Vadamarga are not isolated constructs but interconnected analytical tools.

Discussion
The analysis reveals that Tantrayukti is predominantly employed in narrative sections of Samhita, whereas Vadamarga is more relevant to discussion-oriented contexts, particularly in Vimana Sthana. Vadamarga incorporates components such as Panchavayava Vakhya and Pramana, which closely resemble modern research methodology and logical reasoning frameworks.

Tantrayukti assists in decoding textual intent, while Vadamarga ensures structured debate and validation of knowledge. Their combined application enhances interpretative accuracy and prevents misrepresentation of classical concepts. The presence of Tantrayukti at the end of Siddhi Sthana suggests possible later redaction, whereas Vadamarga appears integral to Charaka's original pedagogical intent.

Conclusion
Tantrayukti and Vadamarga represent sophisticated intellectual tools devised by Acharya Charaka to ensure accurate understanding, interpretation, and application of Ayurvedic knowledge. Tantrayukti aids in textual comprehension, while Vadamarga governs logical discourse and debate. Together, they reflect the scientific rigor, philosophical depth, and pedagogical foresight embedded in Ayurvedic literature. Mastery of these tools enables physicians and scholars to apply classical principles judiciously in both academic and clinical contexts.

References
1. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Siddhi sthana 12. Ed. Yadavji Trikamji Acarya. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Subharati Prakashan; 2011. p. 1222.
2. Available online at https://www.ancient.eu/Arthashastra/
3. Sushruta. Sushruta Samhita, Uttara tantra 65. Ed. Yadavji Trikamji Acarya. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Surbharati Prakashan; 2015. p. 145.
4. Vaghbhata. Ashtanga Hridaya, Uttara sthana 40. Ed. Hari Sadasiva Sastri. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Sansthan; 2011. p. 233.
5. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Siddhi sthana 12. Ed. Yadavji Trikamji Acarya. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Subharati Prakashan; 2011. p. 737.
6. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Vimana sthana 8. Ed. Yadavji Trikamji Acarya. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Subharati Prakashan; 2011. p. 266.
7. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Siddhi sthana 12. Ed. Yadavji Trikamji Acarya. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Subharati Prakashan; 2011. p. 736.
8. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Vimana sthana 8. Ed. Yadavji Trikamji Acarya. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Subharati Prakashan; 2011. p. 269.
9. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Siddhi sthana 12. Ed. Yadavji Trikamji Acarya. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Subharati Prakashan; 2011. p. 737.
10. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Vimana sthana 8. Ed. Yadavji Trikamji Acarya. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Subharati Prakashan; 2011. p. 267.
11. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Siddhi sthana 12. Ed. Yadavji Trikamji Acarya. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Subharati Prakashan; 2011. p. 736.
12. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Vimana sthana 8. Ed. Yadavji Trikamji Acarya. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Subharati Prakashan; 2011. p. 268.
13. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Siddhi sthana 12. Ed. Yadavji Trikamji Acarya. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Subharati Prakashan; 2011. p. 736.
14. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Vimana sthana 8. Ed. Yadavji Trikamji Acarya. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Subharati Prakashan; 2011. p. 269.
15. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Siddhi sthana 12. Ed. Yadavji Trikamji Acarya. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Subharati Prakashan; 2011. p. 736.
16. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Vimana sthana 8. Ed. Yadavji Trikamji Acarya. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Subharati Prakashan; 2011. p. 269.
17. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Siddhi sthana 12. Ed. Yadavji Trikamji Acarya. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Subharati Prakashan; 2011. p. 736.
18. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Vimana sthana 8. Ed. Yadavji Trikamji Acarya. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Subharati Prakashan; 2011. p. 269.
19. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Siddhi sthana 12. Ed. Yadavji Trikamji Acarya. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Subharati Prakashan; 2011. p. 736.
20. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Vimana sthana 8. Ed. Yadavji Trikamji Acarya. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Subharati Prakashan; 2011. p. 269.

< 67 >